UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

TDIT		TD	TT	
TRIT	UN	IP,	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}$	U,

v.

6:07-cv-00067-LED

SAGE GROUP, PLC, et al.

JURY

PLAINTIFF'S ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF SAGE SOFTWARE

Plaintiff Triton IP, LLC ("Triton") answers the counterclaims of Defendant Sage Software, Inc. ("Sage") as follows:

- 1. Paragraph 1 of Sage's counterclaim purports that it "incorporates the foregoing averments as if fully set forth herein." Such an allegation is improper. To the extent that such an allegation requires a response, Plaintiff denies the merits of Sage's defenses and affirmative defenses. Plaintiff denies the remaining allegations, if any, set forth in this paragraph.
- 2. Plaintiff admits that it contends the '525 patent is valid and infringed by Sage. Plaintiff admits that Sage purports to deny such allegations. Plaintiff admits that Sage's answer purports to contend that Sage does not infringe the '525 patent, and that said patent is invalid. Plaintiff admits that a justiciable controversy exists between Sage and Plaintiff. Plaintiff denies the remaining allegations, if any, set forth in this paragraph.
- 3. To the extent paragraph 3 requires a response, Plaintiff admits that Sage purports to seek a declaration of non-infringement and invalidity. Plaintiff denies the remaining allegations, if any, set forth in this paragraph.
- 4. To the extent paragraph 4 requires a response, Plaintiff admits that a justiciable controversy exists regarding Sage's obligations relative to the '525 patent. Plaintiff denies the remaining allegations, if any, set forth in this paragraph.

- 5. To the extent paragraph 5 requires a response, Plaintiff admits that Sage's purported counterclaims arise under the Patent Act, and Plaintiff admits this Court's jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim and any properly pled counterclaims. Plaintiff denies the remaining allegations, if any, set forth in this paragraph.
- To the extent that Sage's Prayer for Relief requires a response, Plaintiff denies 6. that Sage is entitled to any of the relief requested.

Date: May 14, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

TRITON IP, LLC

/s/ John J. Edmonds

Danny L. Williams – Lead Attorney Texas Bar No. 21518050 J. Mike Amerson Texas Bar No. 01150025 Williams, Morgan & Amerson, P.C. 10333 Richmond, Suite 1100 Houston, Texas 77042 Telephone: (713)934-4060 Facsimile: (713) 934-7011 danny@wma.law.com

David M. Pridham

mike@wma.law.com

R.I. Bar No. 6625 Intellectual Property Navigation Group, LLC 207 C North Washington Avenue Marshall, Texas 75670 Telephone: (903) 938-7400 Facsimile: (903) 938-7404 david@ipnav.com

John J. Edmonds

Texas State Bar No. 00789758 THE EDMONDS LAW FIRM 709 Sabine Street Houston, Texas 77007 Telephone: (713) 858-3320 Facsimile: (832) 767-3111

johnedmonds@edmondslegal.com

Eric M. Albritton

Texas Bar No. 00790215 ALBRITTON LAW FIRM P.O. Box 2649 Longview, Texas 75606

Telephone: (903) 757-8449 Facsimile: (903) 758-7397 ema@emafirm.com

Thomas John Ward, Jr.

Texas Bar No. 00794818 Law Office of T John Ward Jr., PC P O Box 1231 Longview, TX 75606-1231 Telephone: (903) 757-6400 Facsimile: (903) 757-2323

jw@jwfirm.com

Kajeer Yar

Texas Bar No. 24025838 2651 East 66th Street Tulsa, OK 74136 Telephone: (918) 292-8158

Facsimile: (918) 292-8158 kyar@yarlawfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff TRITON IP, LLC

On this date a copy of the foregoing is being filed electronically and thus served upon all counsel of record pursuant to Local Rule CV-5.

Date: May 14, 2007 /s/ John J. Edmonds

John J. Edmonds